Previous references.

2/16 18 & 1-3

Later references.

1918.

(Jud)

File No. 316

Serial Nos. 4-9

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for Nov 19

SUBJECT.

Review petn of Daudi Sowda of Malau -gurki, Bowringpet Taluk

Petn No 1675 Dy 26.11.19

Rejected &c

W D 1950—GPB—30,000 28 1 19

G. R. S. 11] 1918.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Enclosed)

NOTES

File No

FILE HEADING.

Review petition regarding the ...
Byatarayya's ... Jaidad ...

From

Dandu Subba ... Officer ...

No. Petition Dated Received

Diary No. 1475	Enclos	Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

... Compromise ... of Dandu ... Ultimate ... on Partnership ... their ... ... the ... Ultimate ...

NOTES AND ORDERS.

This is an interview petition presented to the Dewan - The Dewan's order thereon is as follows: - "The compromise deed

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—20,100 S S 11

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Jmz File No. 316 of 191 8.

Serial No.

"evidently is not being acted upon
"of parties do not come to Terms,
"Some equitable order confirming
"the existing arrangement or direc
"arrangement may be passed,
"under orders of the Hon'ble member

2 The collectors bearing on the subject are submitted.

3 The dispute relates to the utsava of Sri Nyatanaya Swamy both on Sivaratri and the following Parane day by the residents of 2 groups of villages, those of - Doddurkarpanahalli and other villages forming No. 1 while those of - Malagankurki, and other villages (the residents of which are the present petrs.) forming no. 2.

4 G.O. no. 1080 dt 2 11 15 based on the recommendation of the local authorities, directs that as per manual, Sivaratri utsava may be conducted by no 1 and Parane utsava by no. 2.

5 On a review petition by no 1. praying for permission to participate in Parane utsava also, the Collector

NOTE—Contn.' sheet.

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. [illegible] of 191 [illegible]

Serial No.

parties were heard and G.O. Rd/28-5-17 was issued allowing No. 1 to conduct their utsava as Parane day also till 3 P.M. after which time No. 2 may be allowed to conduct their utsava. The subsequent review-petitions by the present petitioners have all been rejected. The last application was rejected under orders of the 18th Member on 10.4.19.

They have presented an interview petition to the Devaswom. The grounds for review are summarized in the petition.

Submitted

recd 10/5 No fresh grounds are 9.5.19 adduced for a reconsideration of the orders already issued. An endt. to this effect may be issued to the Devaswom Asst. The Supdt. to the Devaswom

Rd 10/5/19

May an enquiry be made before giving endorsement. if the G.O. in force has been given effect to?

Secy.)

Rd. 10/5/19

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2

Vol 75

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Hers – File No. 2[illegible] of 191 .

Serial No

10 Yr. Ask for a report about what is being done during the last 3 years. Also if steps are to bring about a compromise between the parties

[illegible]

12

[The addr for the petrs told me that a compromise on the basis of giving the 1st hem Shri Chandulal an extra hem does also will satisfy. He promised to submit a petn to-day but not done so yet. [illegible]]

11 Submtd. with D/S

[illegible] 15/9/19

Draft enquiring is appd. [illegible] 15/9/19

3 12

6/3 Jnms, no Dy Comm, Surat letter no 656 D 5 of 5 Reports that a bench of peace has been instituted in the petitioners, the case of the 2nd November 1915

D 656

NOTE—Contn sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Muz File No. 316 of 191 8

Serial No.

based on the recommendation of the local authorities may be restored, and the subsequent order of 28th May 1917 which contains a departure from the manual, may be modified.

4 The Dy. Commrs. report goes fully into the facts of the case.

5 G.O. of 2d Novr 1915 gives each of the contending parties (the petrs & their opponents) a separate day for the performance of Bhuteraya Swami utsava, while the order of May 1917 permits the opponents to conduct the utsava both on the first day and till 3 P.M. next day (i.e. Sivaratri and Parana day), after which the petrs will have to perform their utsava.

6 It is respectfully submitted that the 2d order of May 1917 was passed by the present Dewan when he was the Member in charge of Muzrai Portfolio after hearing the contending parties & their Advocates.

Submitted for orders.

15.10.19 17/10/19

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mus File No. 316 of 191 8

Serial No.

17 The whole case is this;-

18. In Dec 13, one Hemamma & others of Doddan Kanapanahalli & other villages, petitioned the Govt that the Jatra of Sri Byrateneya Swami at the place had been discontinued owing to the opposition of the residents of Malayandinkhi & other villages -.

18 A report was called for; and the Dy C in his letter No. 2246/96 Dec/14 reported as hereunder:-

19 The Temple referred to is situated on the hills of Doddan Kanpanahalli & is a Muzrai Institution endowed with Inam Lands & Inams -.

The hills are belonged to the Villages of Dasarahalli & it was transferred to the Village of Doddan Kanpanahalli in the Survey Settlement.

20 There were disputes between the petitioners & the inhabitants of Malayandinkhi &

The Title Deed produced. Mentions Dasarahanahalli.

NOTE—Contn sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mug File No. 216 of 1918

Serial No.

Villages regarding certain manyadas during the said Annual Jatra. The Asst. of Bowmapet enquired into the matter and a report was sent to put in this office. Letter No 2926/46 dy 7th Nov 1908. & the part in Rein Orders No. 613/Mug 2.121-08-2 dy 12 Jany 1909 ordered the Settlement made by the Asst.

21 It will be seen from the words that the services on the Devanavi day, was being performed by the pichhaners & the performers in the Temple on the following day by the Villagers of Malaya Anjinkhi & other Villages for several years past. And as such the petitioners claim to all or them to conduct the services on the following day as prayed for by them is not sustainable. The enclosure recorded by the Asst.

× × ×

I therefore think it [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mus File No. 216 of 191 8

Serial No.

to allow the petitioners to conduct services on the Swamy's day & the villagers of Malyampakki &c to adjoining villages on the next day without any interference on either day.

23 On the above report of the Dy:-, his view was accepted and orders were passed accordingly in P. by an endorsement to the petitioners - No 10798 2nd March 15, & Copy of this was sent to Dy for information.

24 The people Dodda Hanumanahalli The petitioners not being satisfied with the above decision, prayed for a revision of the Order -.

25 Notices were issued to the parties & Vakils dated 2.3.17, the petn. was finally heard on 2.3.17, the Dewan Bahadur T. Rajam Row for appellants. Mr Varadarajayya for Respondents were heard the petitions were finally

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 216 of 1916

Serial No

a hearing. Doddur Karalakatti. were willing to Combine with the others in celebrating the Parade Utsavam or to perform it by themselves if time up to 3 P.M. is given to them. The Respondents do not appear & to have any strong objection to the extension of time, but they do not want the Combined Celebration Order were rescinded - The file is now put up for orders."

26 On the above, the following Order was passed by the then 1st Member (present Dewan)

27 "No fresh facts were adduced by either party to justify a revision of the Order now in force. The petitioners prayed for at least an extension of time for celebrating the Utsavam up to 3 P.M. to which the Counter petitioners had no objection - Neither party urged anything more.

NOTE—Contn sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 716 of 191 8

Serial No.

advise that both Nanedambie and celebrate the Utsavam to the convenience of both. The expiry order will be any modified to the extent indicated above & a fresh Endorsement issued".

A 28 In pursuance of the above decision, an order No. 2946 & 28-5-17 was issued.

29 Prior to this order, that the people of Malayampukki have been repeatedly appealing for a revision of the Jamma pound. As they have now urged in their petn. of 22nd April 19 now under disposal.

30 Their petitioning have been repeated Same in daily form since the new. And yet the petitioners are very pressing over the matter

The Collector now reports

31 that. A compromise between the parties was effected & the 2nd

NOTE—Contn sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mus File No. 316 of 191 8

Serial No

(Paper mutd. disposed)

now reports that no such Compromise as Jerville as to the parties are present in their attitude - And in regard to the dispute subject of the dispute, the Dr makes as follows:—

"In this connection I may be permitted to point out that the last mentioned first order entails a departure from the manual while the first order of 2nd Nov 15 is in accordance with it - But this departure tho' based on the mutual Consent of the parties who appeared before him was subsequently objected to by the petitioners -

Under the foregoing circumstances the most equitable arrangement seems to be to Continue the manual as each party should have a separate day for beef & thus avoid

A

NOTE—Contn sheet

Serial No.

be no fear of any complications arising therefrom"

32 The present petitioners applying (1) that ~~that~~ the order of 1917 now under appeal for Revision - was passed without giving a proper & full hearing. ~~And~~ (2) that it was not possible for them to celebrate their Utsavam after 3 P.M. and (3) that no processions of deities are usually conducted in the afternoon after meals -

33 There is some force in their representations No 2 & 3 about the inconvenience ~~of~~ of celebrating Utsavam in the afternoon - And having regard also to their representations they had not been given a full hearing, I

And the 4th present, in view

NOTE—Contn sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Muz File No. 2/16 of 191 .

Serial No.

Muz/15 to conform the impugned order of 16-4-1915
to Court that within 15
days from that a final decision,
with the parties to be given
another opportunity of
a hearing either by
lawyers or accredited
representatives, the latter
one or two on either side—
being selected even in
the presence of the Asst
or the Mukhtiar Officer—.

Asst
23/10/15

(Copy forwarded)

5-11-917 34

28/10/15

Asst
28/10/15

Vide fg. B, in
muz. 2. no. 47-17 sl. 1-6.
Secty no. 1053 dy 5-11-17)

Secretary
29/10/15

Secty 4-10-15

B1

Z. The Order complained of was passed by the First Member (the present Adviser) on 16 April 1917 after hearing the parties. The residents of Malayapuram ~~the~~ the applicant for a review of this order but it was rejected on ~~5-3-11-1917~~ 5-3-11-1918 (by the same Officer (Vide flag B1) ~~and page 9 of the books to file 47 of 1917~~) and a second application for review was also rejected by him (vide

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Revr File No. 366 of 1918.

Serial No.

his order dated 5th March 1918 at page 9 ante 47 of 1917). The fourth and fifth applications for review were received on 31-7-18 and 18-1-19 respectively. The fifth application for review was received from Chandrasekhara Ayya on 9-3-1919 (vide page 5 of Revr file 51 of 1918).

85 The present application for review is the sixth of its kind.

It may be rejected and petitioner informed that no notice will be taken of any further applications that they may present in the matter.

Third Member) 30/10/19

1867 30.10.19.

37 I think that as reported by the Dep^t Comm^r there is some thing to be said in favour of the petitioners contention that the order of the 1917 [illegible] should mean the arrangements thereunder should work on the understanding that the petitioners have no objection to. But I do not see from the papers that the petitioners

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 191

Serial No.

DEVLIN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on NOV 1 1919
Reg. No. 2836

1416
3/11/19

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 316 of 191 8

Serial No.

Submitted with 99 notices to the 25th inst.

[illegible]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Misc File No. 316 of 191 8

Serial No.

NOTE—Contn sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 3/6 of 191 .

Serial No.

[illegible]

The draft Endorsement is appd.

[illegible]

25/11

Secy. 26/11/11

9. D. Davida Lowda & others of the Malaya nguwa & other villages of the Bowlana Pattu D

No. 1675 dt. 26. 11. 1915.

Rejects their Review petn.

No. 1676 - Copy to D. C. Kalutara

No. 1677 - Copy to Shambhagna Veerabhadra Iyer a niah & others of Boodhagama Kalutara

NOTE—Contn. sheet

REPLY.

(*To be written on this margin.*)

Office of the Dewan of Mysore.

NOTE.

Dated 191



PETITION ON WHICH INFORMATION IS CALLED FOR.

No.	*Date*
I.P. 24	22.4.19

Name of Petitioner and his request. Pandu Gowda & 25 others residing in Neralakere & certain other villages of Baringpet taluk

Appeal against the decision of Mysore Supdt re the procession of Doddaraya Swamis temple.

Dewan's Orders

Some equitable order confirming the existing arrangement or other arrangement may be passed under orders of the 2nd member

STAGE OF PENDENCY.

The advocate for the Petitioners promised to submit a draft containing the terms of the compromise, but has not done so up till now.

The Dy Comr, Kolar District has been asked to report as to what is being done during the last three years in the matter of the Dimmattam Parade in question and also if it is possible to bring about a compromise between the parties

24/ 15/5/19 (Seal)

12/ 7/5/19

for Asst Private Secretary

Case Completed

Submitted

15/5/19 —

The report called for from the Dy Commr, Kolar Distt, has not been received as yet. A stringent reminder has this day been issued to that Officer to expedite the submn of his report.

Submitted
AO
25.6.19
SO
25/6/19

Remr received. Another reminder is putup for appl. - The deck may record the file to be put.

RA
21.7.19

Issue & submit as directed
AO
11/7/19.

Reminder.
No 213/Mcr 316-18.5
dt 14.7.1919.

Submitted as directed
KR
14/7/19
RA
14/7/19

Seen and returned
KR
14/7/19



1875 To
1879
No. 316-78

To

A.R.Banerji, Esq., M.A.,I.C.S.,C.S.I., C.I.E.,
Officiating Dewan of Mysore,
BANGALORE. 22.4.19

4 Petitioners.

VS

Interview Opponents. 24

1. Dandu Gowda.
2. Mudduvenkate Gowda.
3. Munivenkate Gowda.
4. Sankey Gowda.
5. Piranna.
6. Muniappa, C.P.
7. Munibathiappa.
8. Sonnappa.
9. Mallappa.
10. Huliappa.
11. Ramaswamiengar (Dharmakarta).
12. Achappa.
13. Huchappa.
14. Nanjundappa, S.A.,
15. Nanjappa, N.R.
16. Chengalrayappa.
17. Venkataramanna.
18. Muniga.
19. Byatappa.
20. Muniappa, M.M.
21. Muniappa, C.M.
22. Gulluga.
23. Karmangalappa.
24. Papanna.
25. Hanuma.
26. Govindappa(very old)

residing in Malayanguriki,
Neralakere,

1. Venkataramanayya,
Shanbogue of
Doddurkarabanahalli.

2. Shamanna, cousin of
No. 1.

22

Neralakere, Soregowdana
Kote, Chikkote, Dasara
Hosalli villages in the
Bowringpet Taluk.

S U B J E C T.

Re the procession of Byatarayaswami of the Temple situated on a hill between Dasarahoshalli and Doddur-karabanahalli.

— ... —

The petitioners most respectfully beg to prefer this appeal against the decision passed by the Superintendent of the Muzrai Department (No.2947/Muz.595-15-7 dated the 28th May 1917) and beg leave to represent the following facts amongst others for your benign and gracious consideration.

1. That the humble petitioners beg to state that they preferred an appeal on the 15th January 1918 against the aforesaid order of the Muzrai Superintendent to the then Dewan Saheb, ~~Sir M.Visveswaraya, K.C.I.E.~~, who instead of deciding the point at issue himself, referred - to the petitioners' ill-luck,- the matter to the very same Muzrai Superintendent who was only pleased to communicate his original order to the petitioners. Now the petitioners humbly beg to encroach upon your precious time and pray that the matter may be decided by your goodself.

2. That the facts of the case are briefly these:-

(a) The petitioners and their ancestors were performing according to the Mamool from time immemorial for over

100

100 years the Utsava of the Deity on the Parane Day, i.e., the day following Sivaratri and the opponents were performing their Utsava of the same Deity on the Sivarathri Day.

(b) Against this long standing Mamool practice the opponents with a spite petitioned the then Dewan Saheb in November 1913, to deprive the present petitioners of their right of performing the Utsava on the Parane Day and allow the opponents themselves to do the same also in their stead on the novel ground that the Hill on which the Deity presides had been transferred in the Survey Plan from the petitioners' village to that of the opponents' by the Re-survey about the year 1880.

(c) The Government after hearing the reports of the Deputy Commissioner of Kolar on the subject, and after a careful perusal of the documentary evidence, communicated to the present opponents in their order No.1080 dated the 2nd November 1915 which is expressed as follows in unmistakeable language:-

" It is clear from the records that the Sivarathri Utsava was being conducted by you (the present opponents) and the Parane Utsava was being conducted by the villagers of Malayamguriki, etc., (the present petitioners) and that you (the present opponents) have no right for the Utsava on the Parane Day as you have nothing in support of your claim. The Deputy Commissioner is of opinion that the Utsavas must be conducted according to the long standing practice and according to this Mamool you (the present opponents) and the Malayamguriki people (the present petitioners) should conduct the processions" The certified copy of this order marked Exhibit A is attached herewith for kind perusal.

25 5295/4

3. That the petitioners conducted the processions accordingly during the years 1916 and 1917 as can be seen from the order of the Amildar Magistrate of Bowringpet Taluk No.625 dated the 26th of February 1916 which is herein enclosed as Exhibit B. Even after the Re-survey the petitioners enjoyed the right of performing the Parane Utsava for over 35 years as was settled by Mr.Grant the then Survey Superintendent that the change of jurisdiction in the plan would not affect the privileges enjoyed by the petitioners in the matter of the processions. Vide Exhibit C enclosed.

4. That against the aforesaid order of the Dewan Saheb the present opponents preferred an appeal to the Muzrai Superintendent in March 1916 to set aside the order of the Dewan Saheb to which Mr.G.Aravamuda Iyengar, the Muzrai Superintendent, communicated to the parties that the Parane Utsava of the Deity in question should be conducted by the opponents till 3 P.M., and soon after by the petitioners separately.

5. That the petitioners now beg to state that this order of the Muzrai Superintendent is very unjust, opposed to the order of the Government on the subject, against Mamool, is thoroughly unworkable in practice, is not supported by any documentary evidence and is contrary to the expressed opinion of the Deputy Commissioner of Kolar.

6. That the Muzrai Superintendent while bent upon creating a new right to the opponents seems to have forgotten at the time that he was revoking the existing and long standing practice of the petitioners who were not at all given a proper and full hearing at the time.

7. That as the feeling between the parties is running high, it is not possible to amicably conduct the two processions one after the other on one and the same day by

26

the two opposite parties without causing disturbance of the peace and perhaps injury to life and property. The petitioners do not find time to celebrate the procession after 3 P.M. and return with their families and children on rugged foot-paths to their village before dusk which is about 4 miles distant from the Temple and that no processions of Deities are generally conducted in the afternoons after meals.

8. That the Temple in question was built by the progenitors of some of the present petitioners and the Deity of Byatarayaswami was installed in it by them and their descendants (petitioner No. ~~24~~ 11) are still enjoying the tributes given by the followers of the Deity. The petitioner No. 26 is a long standing Archikar of the Temple and is in possession of the jewels of the Deity. The Government Inam Lands of 10 acres with a tank given for the up-keep of the Temple are situated in the villages of the petitioners and ~~not~~ only one acre in those of the opponents - Vide Exhibit D enclosed.

9. That the opponents are very influential ~~Brahmin~~ Shanbogue of the locality. Hence the present trouble to the petitioners whose right of performing the procession on the Parane Day was never molested by the ancestors of the present opponents.

Wherefore the petitioners most humbly and respectfully pray that the order of the Muzrai Superintendent, dated the 28th May 1917, being ultra vires, may be set aside and that the original order of the Dewan Saheb, dated the 2nd November 1915, may be allowed to prevail with a view to safeguard the legitimate and long-standing privileges of the petitioners. For which act of justice the petitioners beg to remain ever grateful.

N.B. The enclosed exhibits / may be kindly returned after they are done with.

20

21.

22

23

24

25

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT..... Calls for ... [illegible] ...
... Sivanthi ... [illegible] ...
... [illegible] ...

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,
( )
, the 191 .

Date of issue..... 10/5/19

Signed by..... Mr MS. Rao

Section
File No.
Serial No. 5

No. 3560 [illegible]

To

The Dy. Commr.,
Kolar Dist.

Sir,

Adverting to Govt. Rep. no. 2946/Muz. 595 15 6 dated 28th May 1917 directing in modification of order no. 1082 Muz. 240 13 6 Dt 2d Nov. 915, that the residents of Doddurkarapana halli and other villages be allowed to conduct Utsava of Byatarayaswami in the T.

over.

30

Taluk. till 3 P.m. on Swarajya
Parvane Day after which time
the residents of Malayanguri
and other villages be allowed to
Conduct their utsava,
I am directed to forward herewith
review-petition from Dandu
Gouda of Malayanguri and
other villages praying that-
on a re-consideration of ~~so &~~ the ~~above~~ order cited above
~~28th May 1915 and that ref to~~, the order
of Nov^r 1915 may be restored,
and to request to be informed
~~as to~~ what is being done during
the last 3 years, with the matter & the utsava
is possible to bring about a
Compromise between the
parties-

(2) The entire records connected
with the case may also be
kindly forwarded for ref.

Shimoga. Copied by

Examined by

28/5/19

Secretary

Mys-Dept

By

15/5/19

50 000—15 11 16

List of enclosure

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .. ..

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

, the *191* .

Date of issue 8.11.19

Signed by M.A. Manickam Jaya Iyer

Section Imp.

File No. 316-18

Serial No.)

No. 1472

To

The Dy. Comr.,
Kolar Dist.,

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no. 656 dt 8th Oct. 19 reporting on the revision application of Dandu Sowda and others of Maligarpura and other villages of the Bowringpet Taluk in favour of the Ryots of Byatarayanapura

over.

at Dodda Kanaparahalli
in the said Taluk, I am
directed to state that the
review-application is posted
for hearing before him
on the 25th instant, and
to request that you will
be so good as to send
up the entire Venadavan
records connected with
the case so as to reach
this office not later than
the 20th inst.

2) The records received with
the letter under reference
are returned herewith.

By order,

Asst. Secretary.

Encl: List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WLSG- GPB--60,000—15 11 16

From

To

Date

Copy noted

Forwarded

No.

No.

Enclosure No.

33

Deputy Commissioner's Office,

Kolar District,

Dated Kolar, the 15th November 1919.

From

Md. Zahiruddin Mecci, Esq., B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

To

T. E. Jayarama Aiyar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

As called for in your letter No.1472/Muz.316-18-7 of the 8th Instant, I have the honor to submit herewith the file of vernacular records relating to the case of Dandu Gowda and others of Maliangurki and other villages in Bowringpet Taluk about the Utsava of Sri Byatarayaswami at Doddur Karavamahalli in the Bowringpet Taluk.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

for Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT ... Rejects the review petition of Dandu Gowda and others for a re-consideration of G.O. No. 595 to Pasture reserve of Mandarkanyamahalu Mysore Forests

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
( )
, the 191 .

Date of issue .. 26.11.19

D.E. Jaya Rama Raju Signed by.

Section Forest
File No. 316--18
Serial No. 9

No. 607

To

Endorsement granted to Dandu Gowda, Muddu Venkate Gowda and others of Malayamgurki and certain other villages of the Bowring pet Taluk, with reference their review petition regd. no. 1875 of 22nd April 1919 praying for a re-consideration of the order of Govt. Conveyed in Pro. no 2946/Muz. 595-15-6

over.

28th May 1917 relating to Parane Utsava of Sri Byatarayaswami Temple at Doddurkarapanahalli in the same Taluk.

In reply, the petitioners are informed that the records so to show that the arrangement ordered in G.O. of 28th May 1917 about the said Utsava was based on the consent of both the parties, when the case was heard before Supt. on 2nd March 1917, and that there is nothing to bear out the allegation that the pets did not agree to it. In these circumstances, Govt do not see reason to [illegible] the order. The review petition is rejected

List of enclosures.

By order

[illegible] 26/11/19

Secretary to Govt

1676 One AD 26-11-19 file Mun. Depn Co

copy to the Dy. Commr, Kolar Dist., retg the records received with his letter no 656 dy 15-11-1919.

E inwd 25/11/19

By order

1677 dy 26-11-19 Sr Secretary

Copy to Sundaresa Venkataramaniah & others Doddurkarapanahalli Mun. Depn

WD 1744—GPI 30 (00— o 1

W.D. 0244—GPB—6,00,000—1915-16—S.

688

1920

Mur

46/

191

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

Dated 6th October 191..

Despatched

Received

General No. 606

No. 18-19

Enclosure No. 16-6.

Submitting report relating to the Utsava of Sri Byatrayaswami temple at Bodinakarapanahalli.

Yours &c.

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Kolar District,
Dated Lysore the 6th October 1919.

R.M.C. No. 656 Enc: 1 file
18-19

From

Mr. Zahiruddin Mecci, Esq. B. A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

To

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esquire, B. A. B. L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Mudral Department, Bangalore.

S i r,

With reference to your letter No. 3160/Muz.316-18-5 dated 10th May 1919, forwarding for report on certain points the review petition of Danda Gowda and others of Kallenpurli and other villages of the Bowringpet Taluk, in respect of the Utsava of Sri Beereshwara Swami Temple at Doddur Karapanhalli, I have the honour to state as follows:--

It is gathered from the Amildar's report that the petitioners and their party, have not been performing the Utsava -- at all in the years 1918 and 1919, that is, subsequent to the date of the Government Order of the 28th May 1917 and that they have been allowing the other party headed by Shanbogue Venkataramaniah to do as they like, because the petitioners would not consent (against the mamul) to perform the Utsava after 2 P. M. on the Jarane day and as they apprehended friction and breach of peace, if Utsava as - performed by both parties on the same day.

2. As regards the question of any compromise between the parties, it is ascertained to be impossible

as each party is obstinate, the petitioners sticking to their mamul and the opposite party depending on the Government Order of the 28th May 1.17.

3. In this connection, I may be permitted to point out that the last mentioned Government Order entails a departure from the mamul, while the Government Order of 2nd November 1915 is in accordance with it. But this departure though based on the mutual consent of the parties who appeared before Government has since been objected to by the petitioners.

4. Under the foregoing circumstances, the most -- equitable arrangement seems to be to confirm the mamul, as each party would have a separate day for itself, and there would be no fear of any complications arising therefrom. I request therefore that in the interests of peace and in justice to the petitioners the Government Order of the 2nd November 1915 based on the recommendation of Mr. P. F. Bowring in his letter No. 824 dated 8th December 1914 may be restored.

5. The Vernacular file of correspondence ending with the Kolar Sub Division Officer's Yadi No. 1653 dated 21st September 1919, is herewith submitted for reference and kind return when no longer required.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner.
Kolar District.

S.G.P.

ಫೇರೀಸ್ತ್.

೧. ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್.
೨. ತಾಲೂಕ್ಮಿಕ್.
೩. ಮಾಗಣಿ,
೪. ಮೌಜ್.
೫. ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್.
೬. ವಿಚಾರ್.

ನಂಬ್ರೆ	ಮಜನೂರ್	ಅಪದು
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		



మృకూరు శ్రీమద్భీమ మహారాంచిరవర
కారర్థిలంఖ్యరేవి నన్నుణాలాంభా నేర్పుచే
రియువరకభీరియిందా—

భోరింగవిద్యాలేకాల్యాగ్గాకి మీరూర్థ్వా
రక్షి-రవినకుళ్ళిగ్రామదల్లిరువవిద్యాసం
ధావశ్యేరిసి-

ఇంతార్థిప్రకీర్తిం

ఈదరిగ్రామదశ్రీభట్టరియల్లా
మిడ్డేవరభాగ్యేయుశీలవ్యసనంవర్ధనగ
ళిందనరియుశ్రీలవంతిలూనిల్వలుర్థి
దిగళన్నునరిసలుమలయనసుజిం
రిగ్రామగళభక్తిదిగళు ఆర్థిక్షరిజు
శ్రీరింకవశ్యే 1913 సంయినవినవం
మూడియల్లదివ్యాసాహిత్యచరిత్రమ
వుళు భారంలు శీర్షంత లు
ముక్తతోప్పుటిక- మోహనరవరిందంగ
మూరిసిన్నుంతరిప్పోంటరిన్నుక్షరాంధ్రం
లాయిక-

శివరాత్రిదివ లుత్రవవు నిమ్మా
దలూమక్తిహీర్థిదివగళుక్రీన్న
మలయనళ్ళివశ్యేగ్రామన్నదింగళు
బిద్రుంలదింద మూమూలాని
గుశిరువదాంని మూ

నరశకిల్యాండ్ రాజువద్దయు శ్రీమంతు
ప్రభుశ్రీ-మింటోనరవరు అధిక్రియలవల
వదరింద వీల్లంతవుంమూలనంత
యిలనీవ్యమశ్మమలియునసుశీవశ్రీ
స్వామన్నిరూంలుశ్రీవాండినళ్ళినరశన
శశ్మద్బిందునర్నారదవరుశీమాంత్ని
నిలిచన్నతోప్రభుశ్రీ-మింటోనరవరిశ
పాలాంపిదాంధ్రుశ్మంశీల్లరుశ్మశ్రీ—
శా 2నే నవంబర్ 1915 మొంగళవారం.

By order,

Sd. V. R. Thyagaraja Iyer,
for Secretary
Revenue Department.

"Copy"

Memorandum

Secretary to Govt
Revenue Department

N/
~~Received~~ 7-2-16
Hem: Chee

Ready 8.2.16
Sd 8 2 16

Letter No: 3560/Muz:316-18-5 dated 15/16th May 1919, from the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department, to the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District,

------:oOo:-----

Adverting to Government Proceedings No: 2946/Muz:595-15-6 dated 28th May 1917, directing in modification of order No: 1080 Muz: 240-13-6 dated 2nd November 1915, that the residents of Doddakarapanahalli and other villages be allowed to conduct -- utsava of Byataraya swami in the Bowringpet Taluk, till 3.P.M. on Sivaratri Parane day after which time the residents of --- Malayangurki and other villages be allowed to conduct their -- utsava, I am directed to forward herewith review-petition from Dande Gowda of Malayangurki and other Villagers praying that on a reconsideration of the order cited above, the order of November 1915, may be restored, and to request to be informed what is being done during the last 3 years in the matter of the - Utsava and also if it is possible to bring about a compromise between the parties.

2. The entire records connected with the case may also be kindly forwarded for reference.

Hc 1653/1919

Office of the DeputyCommissioner,
KolarDistrict.

Dated Kolar, the 26th May 1919.

Memo.

Copy of the above together with its enclosures is forwarded to the SubDivision Officer, Kolar, for favour of enquiry and -- early report.

Rcd 29-4-19

D.C.Subbarayappa.
for Deputy Commissioner,
KolarDistrict.

V.V.R.

Rtc 1653
18-19. 11 b By Executive Records

memo

The entire records are herewith returned to the Amildar of Bowringpet Taluk for enquiry & detailed report.

He is requested to go to the spot, & make enquiries & see whether the petitioners & opponents can come to terms & effect a compromise amongst them.

7-383

AMILDAR 6772 13-5-19 LIST FC

Sub Divisional officer
M Thulasi Dasappa
4-6-19.

Notices issued to the parties to appear on 3 days. Intimation to the Surveyor 17.6.19

Surveyor enclosed approximate sketch 2/5/19

GRS 31]

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,

Bangalore, Dated 2nd July 191

No. 5
Muz 316-18-5

From

G. Aravamudu Iyengar, Esq. B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Muzrai Department.

To

M. Zahiruddin Meccai, Esq., B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District,

Kolar.

Mc 656
1819

SIR,

19.5.19.

I am directed to invite your reference to this office letter No. 3360 dated the 13th May 1919, and to request that the submission of report therein called for about the Uts ve of Sri Rajarajeswami at Doddurk mpahlli, - - Bowringpet Taluk, may be submitted without



5.7.19
muzrai
dcg

I have the honour to
Sir,
Your most obedient ser
Secretary to Government
Muzrai Department.

Very urgent
4.238
H.C. 21.7.19

D.A. 21.7.1919. Forwarded to the Sub Divisional Officer, Kolar. For favour of immediate report.

D.C. Subbarayappa
For 22nd July Commission
by Kolar Dt Times

RH 21/9 dt
Memo 49
Forwarded to the Tahsildar of Bowringpet taluk for immediate report.

Kolar
11-7-19

The Sub Divisional Officer
Kolar



Notice has been served personally on 12-7-19

Affixed under my hand on 2-9-19

R-Hc-656
18-19
Immediate

Kolar District
Dated 5th September 1918.

Memo

Re inviting attention to this office memo No: H.C. 656/18-19 dated 4th August 1918 re the Utsava of Sri Byatrayaswamy temple at ~~Hu~~ Doddur Karajavahalli, the Sub Division Officer Kolar is requested to expedite the submission of the report therein called for, so as to reach this office on or before the 15th instant latest.

The matter may be treated as very urgent.

M.V. Srinivasa
for Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

Immediate Office of the Sub Dn.
HCNo. 269 Kolar 10-9-19.
19.20.

Forwarded to the Amildar of Bowringpet Taluk for immediate submission of the enquired records immediately before 12 Noon tomorrow.

X 9/9

Sub Division officer

Date
13-9-19
BOWRINGPET

Submitted as requested by Revenue Officer

Submitted

The memo regarding the return of the Registration Inspector at D. Karapanahalli is herewith submitted.

Amildar
9/9/1919

Vidya Murthy
Asst. Prof.

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering

Research Interests:

Teaching Interests:

Publications:

Projects:

Awards:

Professional Memberships:

Personal Details:

Date of Birth: 15/4/1984

Nationality:

Languages Known:

Hobbies:

Declaration:

Place:

Date:

Signature

Kumarabasavanna Shanbhog

Sd/- 28/4/1915

No. 157 Encl: 1/Ltr. 44 dtd 10 Jan
18.9.19,

Reference by Secretary Rte
656/18-19 § 27. dtd 19. and
subsequent Reminder No. 1/7
26 § 10.9.19 from S. D. Officer
Kolar
Submitted. by the Sub. Divl
Officer.

H & DC
Bijnor
dtd
31.9.19

Both the parties have
appeared before today and
though advised to effect a
compromise amongst themselves
declined to do so because
petitioner Dawa a Bhotia
and other say that they
have been enjoying the
right of perennial stream
as the Parmanent for the
last 100 years and that the
other party have no right
to perennial stream as
[illegible]

Petitioner

that they agreeing to perform
the Utsava also on the
same day is not possible
because it is likely to
give room for quarrels &
trouble & that the devotees
from their village having
enjoyed the right for many
years decline to give up
their right; and for this
reason & apprehending
trouble from the other
party they have not
been performing the Utsava
at all and allowed the
other party headed by
Shanbhog Venkatramanaiah
to do as they like.

From Enquiries made
article the disputes have
been enforced that the
assertion of the Petitioners
is correct & that they have
been enjoying the Pub-lic

of performing [illegible] on the Parade day for drawing ...; and that there is some fear of serious breach of the peace if best hands are ordered to perform the operation the same day (Sun.)

Theirs being the best hands to remain [illegible] best hands to come to Performance but I regret to say that Shaubhag Venkatramaiah is pulling the strings, and as his party has so far succeeded in [illegible] keeping petitioners from their true and rightful [illegible]

Petitioners say that they will be guided by abide by any order [illegible] may be passed after [illegible]

Accn 59 the fact of their having enjoyed the right of herbaging the ubāra for the last 100 years and so recently as 1916 & 1917.

Petitioners are not willing to herbage the ubāra on the same day as the Quetta petitioners and after 3 days.

At present only the Mastg headmen beg Sandeman Jacobabad and herbage the ubāra, and the Petitioners & their Mastg have received recently about —

Chief
18.9.19

Rbt 1653/1919 Quetta file

In submitting the entire file of records received from the Amildar Bamnigbor with his memo dated 18.9.19, I quite agree in the views expressed by the Amildar that the matter being

matter only of a religious
nature, any amount of compromise
forced upon the contending
parties will not produce effective
nor conducive to public peace
The matter may be left to
remain, as the Mahanadi said to
have been in vogue for some
long time past, where it
be also pressing a step to the
factions among the priests
concerned. Orders solicited

Yours faithfully,

[illegible]

27/9/19

Mazzarelli